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Can/should Germany serve as a “model”?  

Jein:
German economy performing well, but inequality is growing rapidly nonetheless

Germany’s social pact is alive and well....
...but it is shrinking! (dualization)

Elements of a Danish alternative
Trends in income inequality
change in Gini coefficient, mid 1980s to mid 2000s

Percent of unemployed out of work 12 months or more
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Change in three arenas of relevance to in/equality

1. Industrial relations: shrinking collective bargaining coverage
2. Labor market policy: growth of low-wage sector
3. Apprenticeship training: dualization in the training market

1. Industrial relations: signs of stability

Continued, maybe even intensified cooperation in manufacturing core:

**plant level**: pacts for employment and competitiveness

**industry level**: continued support for industry-wide bargaining
But ...

Erosion in collective bargaining coverage (esp. accompanying shift in employment to services)

And failure or stalemate on compensatory measures

• Employers now regularly veto extension clauses (Allgemeinverbindlichkeitserklärungen)
• Political stalemate on statutory minimum wage

Source: Streeck 2009.

2. Labor market policy

Multiple protections for workers in the manufacturing core: strong employment protection legislation, collective contracts, strong works councils

Further augmented by state-sponsored short-time work (Kurzarbeit) policies

• 80% of workers on subsidized short-time work in June 2009 were in manufacturing (manufacturing accounts for approximately 20% of total employment)
Meanwhile...

Growth of “atypical” employment outside the core
• liberalization of fixed term contracts and agency work
• Increase in “mini-jobs,” especially in services
  – # minijobs has risen by 30% since 2003
  – 1 in 3 jobs in service sector is minijob
  – 2/3 of minijobbers are women
  – 92% of mini-jobs are low-pay

Source: Bosch and Weinkopf 2010
3. Vocational education and training

- Apprenticeship training has traditionally provided a path for working class youth into stable and relatively well-paid employment

- Vocational training system still popular among all stakeholders

- Ongoing positive adaptation to changes in technology and production

- Quality of training high and growing (e.g., new “dual study” programs)
....but

Declining firm participation and structural excess demand for apprenticeship
➔ rationing of apprenticeship

State-sponsored “transitional system” does not confer full occupational credentials and is stigmatizing
➔ “dualization” in youth training market as well

What is Denmark doing differently?

• **Industrial relations**: decentralization but with continued high collective bargaining coverage and coordination through the state

• **Vocational education and training**: flexibilization of training and improved access to training— including especially adult education and continuing vocational education and training

• **Labor market policy**: “activation” as in Germany but with stronger state support (including especially state subsidies for re-training)
German and Danish Social Pacts compared

Germany:
• No free fall into US-model but significant growth in inequality over 10-15 years
• Not across-the-board deregulation but erosion in coverage of traditional supports for equality

Denmark
• Stronger “social investment model” (access to training at all points in the life cycle)
• “The state defines the model of capitalism” (Bresser-Pereira)
Figure 1.1. Gini coefficients of income inequality in OECD countries, mid-2000s
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Figure 11.1. Levels of income inequality and poverty in OECD countries, mid-2000s

Note: Countries are ranked in increasing order of the Gini coefficient of income inequality. Data refer to the distribution of household disposable income in cash across people, with each person being treated as the income of the household where they live adjusted for household size.

Source: Computations based on OECD income distribution questionnaire.
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